TALENT REFINERY

UNDER STEVEN BRADSHAW, BOK FINANCIAL IS ENGAGING EMPLOYEES AS NEVER BEFORE BY INVESTING HEAVILY IN TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT. DON'T THINK CUSTOMERS HAVEN'T NOTICED.
In banking, “can do” does.

At U.S. Bancorp, we’re committed to the unique debt financing needs of the banking industry. We take pride in developing and executing tailored financial solutions that best meet your institution’s needs. Our goal is to make your possible a reality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Agent Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Financial Corporation</td>
<td>$125,000,000</td>
<td>Term loan</td>
<td>September 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinnacle</td>
<td>$120,000,000</td>
<td>Subordinated notes</td>
<td>Joint lead placement agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FirstUnited</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>Subordinated notes</td>
<td>Sole lead placement agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Bancorp</td>
<td>$65,000,000</td>
<td>Subordinated notes</td>
<td>Sole book-running manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact us to find out how we can assist.

Rich Raffetto, Executive Vice President  
646.935.4511 | richard.raffetto@usbank.com

“World’s Most Ethical Companies” and “Ethisphere” names and marks are registered trademarks of Ethisphere LLC.
The information noted above is: (i) prepared for information purposes only; (ii) not a research report; and (iii) not advice or a recommendation to enter into any transaction or undertake any strategy. “U.S. Bancorp” is the marketing name used by U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries including U.S. Bancorp Investments, Inc. (USBI) and U.S. Bank Municipal Securities Group, a Division of U.S. Bank National Association (USBMSG). Municipal products and services are available through USBI and USBMSG. USBMSG is an affiliate of USBI, member FINRA and SIPC. This information represents the opinion of U.S. Bank and is not intended to be a forecast of future events or a guarantee of future results.

Investment products and services offered by USBI and USBMSG are:
NOT A DEPOSIT | NOT FDIC-INSURED | NOT GUARANTEED BY THE BANK | MAY LOSE VALUE | NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY

The material contained herein is not a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument or to participate in any trading strategy. Securities are subject to various risks including changes in interest rates, credit quality, market valuations, liquidity, prepayments, early redemption, issuer/obligor events and financial condition, legislative or political changes, tax law and other factors. Debt securities typically decrease in value when interest rates rise. Tax-exempt securities may be subject to alternative minimum tax (AMT) and state and local taxes may apply. Capital gains, if any, will be subject to capital gains tax.

U.S. Bancorp Investments is not affiliated with the other organizations mentioned in this publication.
Credit products subject to normal credit approval. Member FDIC. Deposit products offered by U.S. Bank, National Association. U.S. Bank is not responsible for and does not guarantee the products, services or performance of third-party providers.

Investment products and services are available through U.S. Bancorp Investments, Inc., member FINRA and SIPC, an investment adviser and a brokerage subsidiary of U.S. Bancorp and affiliate of U.S. Bank.
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BY BONNIE McGEER

Myth Busting’s Part of Job in Impact Investing

Scott Davis has faced so much skepticism about what he does at U.S. Trust that he now welcomes the chance to challenge people’s misconceptions.

“I’m actually starting to enjoy getting the question” about returns on impact investing, says Reeves, a portfolio manager at the Bank of America Wealth Management unit. “It really gives us the opportunity to highlight the benefit of our approach, and why our approach can lead to better investment outcomes.”

The question is usually phrased with a negative spin: Don’t impact investments – which are made with the intention of fostering social or environmental benefits – underperform?

Put another way, don’t you have to sacrifice profits for purpose?

Reeves and others involved in the impact sector answered with a resounding “no” during a recent conference titled “Diversity Driving Revenue” that the investment bank Big Path Capital hosted in New York City.

Interest in impact investing is expected to continue growing, fueled in part by women and millennials, and more financial institutions are taking notice. But the idea is still outside the mainstream, so fittingly a portion of the panel discussion with Reeves focused on the myths that participants spend the most time debunking. Disbelief about financial worthiness is one they all seemed to have in common.

Sunwoo Hwang, the founder and chief executive of Sixup, said he doesn’t emphasize the social mission behind his San Francisco startup, partly to avoid that extra layer of skepticism.

Sixup is an online lending platform that aims to help high-achieving, low-income students attend four-year colleges. Borrowers can get $5,000 to $15,000 a year to fill the gap between the financial aid they receive and the cost of their tuition.

This target group carries a lot of stigma in the financial community, Hwang said. “These students get lumped into subprime, loser prime, risky prime,” he said.

To get past the preconceived notions, Hwang started using the term “future prime” instead, which he said has helped overcome some initial resistance to his pitch about why these students are a worthwhile investment.

For Elizabeth Chou, of New Markets Venture Partners, a bias in favor of established funds is her biggest hurdle.

“Everyone thinks that investing with small managers or emerging managers is risky,” she said.

But large firms rely on track records established over the years by fund managers who might no longer be involved in that portfolio, said Chou, who is a partner at New Markets. And sometimes the interests of large firms do not align with those of investors.

“I spend my life telling people that investing with established managers is actually riskier,” she said.

Reeves is part of the socially innovative investing team at U.S. Trust – S2I for short.

It launched in 2010 around a suite of investing products that took into account diverse environmental, social and governance factors, he said. But a few years in, U.S. Trust found that many of its high-net-worth clients started to “cluster” their attention on specific themes.

“As a result, starting in 2013, we developed two new portfolios that are much more focused in nature, one of which is called our Women and Girls Equality Strategy – we call it WAGES for short – and the second is our Human Rights and Recognition Strategy – which we call H2R for short,” Reeves said. “And those are really kind of extensions of our diversified approach with just a greater focus on diversity, inclusion, social justice and the like.”

Despite the social goals, Reeves said the team is entirely data driven and gathers insight from many sources. “We consider ourselves to be quantitative investors really,” he said.

But two questions they ask about every potential investment likely differ from those of mainstream portfolio managers.

The first is, do companies have the right intentions? In other words, “do they have the right policies around things like family benefits?” Reeves asked. “Are they transparent about their hiring practices and their track record around hiring and promotions?”

The second is, are those policies actually leading to the right outcomes?

An emphasis on both intention and results helps weed out pretenders that are just “green washing,” Reeves said. “You can’t cheat the hard numbers.”
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‘Do You Understand Your Brand Is Being Destroyed?’

How a New Jersey bank ended up in a political and social media firestorm

By Kate Berry

IT’S A SITUATION THAT HAS BECOME depressingly familiar for big banks. A negative story makes the rounds on the internet and quickly turns viral.

But a relatively small bank, the $5.2 billion-asset Lakeland Bank in Oak Ridge, N.J., found itself in that position after a former bank executive said she resigned because a top House Republican targeted her in a letter to a member of the institution’s board of directors. The bank is a unit of Lakeland Bancorp.

The accusations, initially aired by WNYC-FM 93.9 public radio, gained traction on liberal-leaning websites and soon turned into a firestorm for the bank. A Facebook post by Lakeland, which largely skirted the issues involved, was deluged with dozens and then hundreds of comments, most of them calling for a boycott of the bank.

“Do you understand the speed and power of social media?” Mike O’Brien of Bloomfield, N.J., wrote on Facebook. “Do you understand that your brand is being destroyed, right now, today, and that you have maybe five hours to get in front of this thing? Do you think that this kind of stuffy corporate legalese is going to put out the fire? Get serious.”

The episode demonstrates how swiftly bad news can swamp financial institutions, even small banks and credit unions that generally aren’t in the cross hairs of activists or political provocateurs.

At issue are claims by Saily Avelenda, a former senior vice president and assistant general counsel at Lakeland, who said that she was pressured to leave after Joseph O’Dowd, a board member, received a letter from Rep. Rodney Frelinghuysen, R-N.J., which was posted by WNYC. Frelinghuysen was seeking donations for a local political group that is opposing the congressman.

“Needless to say, that did cause some issues at work that were difficult to overcome,” Avelenda told the radio station.

The bank posted a two-paragraph response that did not confirm whether Avelenda had worked at the bank.

“We recently received communications from members of our communities and customers concerning a news report involving an individual who identified herself as a former employee and noted her outside civic involvements,” the bank posted on Facebook in May.

“Lakeland Bank does not comment publicly on the status of our current or former employees.”

It then emphasized that its employees have the right to political expression. The bank said its code of ethics “specifically provides that it is the philosophy of Lakeland Bank to promote our employees’ full awareness and interest in civic and political responsibility such that each employee has the opportunity to support community activities or the political process in the manner that she or he desires.”

But the response appeared only to inflame the public, which responded with hundreds of comments taking the bank to task. “Shame on you,” “Get out” and “Move your money” were common themes in the responses.

Thomas Shara, the bank’s president, said in a statement that he was “disheartened to hear claims that are misleading and do not reflect the values of our company and our dedicated employees.”

The bank was founded in 1957 by a group of Hudson County residents and has been majority-owned by a mutual savings bank since 1998.
ly bad news can swamp financial institutions, even small banks and credit unions that generally aren’t in the cross hairs of by activists or political provocateurs.

At issue are claims by Saily Avelenda, a former senior vice president and assistant general counsel at Lakeland, who said that she was pressured to leave after Joseph O’Dowd, a board member, received a letter from Rep. Rodney Frelinghuysen, R-N.J. The letter, which was posted by WNYC, was a form-letter plea for fundraising but included a handwritten note from Frelinghuysen that accuses a bank employee of being a “ringleader” of NJ 11th for Change, a local political group that is opposing the congressman.

“No need to say, that did cause some issues at work that were difficult to overcome,” Avelenda told the radio station.

The bank posted a two-paragraph response that did not confirm whether Avelenda had worked at the bank.

“We recently received communications from members of our communities and customers concerning a news report involving an individual who identified herself as a former employee and noted her outside civic involvements,” the bank posted on Facebook in May.

“Lakeland Bank does not comment publicly on the status of our current or former employees.”

It then emphasized that its employees have the right to political expression. The bank said its code of ethics “specifically provides that it is the philosophy of Lakeland Bank to promote its employees’ full awareness and interest in civic and political responsibility such that each employee has the opportunity to support community activities or the political process in the manner that she or he desires.”

But the response appeared only to inflame the public, which responded with hundreds of comments taking the bank to task. “Shame on you,” “Get out” and “Move your money” were common themes in the responses.

Thomas Shara, the bank’s president and chief executive, did not return a call and email seeking comment. Frelinghuysen, who chairs the powerful House Appropriations Committee, and O’Dowd also did not return calls seeking comment.

Frank Eliason, a partner at the consulting firm BrainTrust Partners and a former head of global social media at Citigroup, said the bank’s response invited more questions and criticism.

“The juicy story is a House Republican forced a bank employee out, and that taps into social media anger,” Eliason said. “This is one of the problems banks have in general, which is that they are not really viewed as being one with society, and it plays into the views that people have of the GOP and the banking world generally that they are ‘in’ with politicians.”

Jennifer Abernethy, president of Socially Delivered, a global social media management firm, said Lakeland is getting linked to President Trump and the message is “for consumers to get their money out of there. It doesn’t look good.”

The bank did not appear to have a Twitter handle, which likely was another factor in the public discourse these days.

“Needless to say, that did cause some issues at work that were difficult to overcome,” Avelenda told the radio station.

The episode demonstrates how swift political responsibility such that each employee has the opportunity to support community activities or the political process in the manner that she or he desires.”

But Eliason said the bank may have inadvertently caused more problems by describing its ethics policy as one that does not limit the political activity of employees. “There are multitudes of problems, because they are getting into politics, because they are getting into political law and a situation where an employee is using freedom of speech,” Eliason said.
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**Fifth Third Delivers**

Maternity concierge service boosts retention of moms as employees

**WHEN KATIE OCKERMAN WAS PREPARING for the arrival of her twin daughters last summer, she was concerned about balancing the demands of motherhood with her job at Fifth Third Bancorp.**

But a unique new program at the Cincinnati company eased her concern.

The maternity concierge program is akin to a personal assistant who can handle any task a new mom might find overwhelming, whether finding a pediatrician, planning a child’s birthday party or grocery shopping.

It launched in January and within five months more than 170 women had taken advantage of it, said Teresa Tanner, Fifth Third’s chief administrative officer. Though the $440 billion-asset company already had a maternity leave policy, it was not enough to keep some women from dropping out, even if they might have preferred to keep working.

“We’ve had all these programs around for decades now, but we’re not getting results,” Tanner said. “We’ve got to step back and say, ‘What are we missing?’”

Ockerman, a branch manager who has been working at Fifth Third for 10 years, said it was helpful to have someone she could call on for things like meal prep, party planning or finding child-friendly activities in her neighborhood.

“When you become a mom, you have all these concerns about, can I do both?” Ockerman said of parenting and working full time. “This made me feel like they supported their employees, they understand, and they gave us something to lean on. That just makes my drive for this company even higher. I want to work for them if they’re supporting me. It made a big difference.”

According to Julie Nugent, a vice president at the nonprofit Catalyst Research Center for Corporate Practice, the maternity concierge program is unique to Fifth Third. She doesn’t know of other programs quite like it, though she said forward-thinking companies are making greater efforts to include men in their parental leave policies and to help new moms transition back into the workforce after the birth of a child.

Fifth Third already offers six paid weeks of medical leave for new mothers and will add another four paid weeks to that beginning in August. But women who had recently completed maternity leave still left the bank at twice the rate of all other female employees. Women make up 60% of Fifth Third’s total workforce, but just 23% of its senior managers and executives.

Maternity leave alone was not cutting it, so Tanner turned to the mothers at Fifth Third for some insight. She started with a simple question: “What’s hard?”

As they listed the responsibilities associated with a new child, Tanner realized the company could help by taking some of those tasks off their to-do lists. Fifth Third already had been working with Best Upon Request to offer its Cincinnati-area employees general concierge services, like grocery shopping and vacation planning, so she approached the company about building out a service for moms.

The firm has embedded two full-time employees inside Fifth Third’s headquarters to help moms of newborns and kids up to a year old. Fifth Third declined to say how much the service costs, but described it as a “six-figure investment.”

Tanner advised other companies interested in retaining women to ask them what the barriers are to staying in the workforce after the birth of a child and how the company can better support new mothers.

“If we want diversity at the most senior level of the company — and we do — we have to figure out how to keep women and allow them to grow their careers,” Tanner said. — Laura Alix

**Will a Spinoff Pay Off?**

Eastern turns its innovation lab into a separate company

**EASTERN BANK’S LATEST ENDEAVOR IS a story of gain — and loss.**

The $9.8 billion-asset Eastern has spun off Numerated Growth Technologies, a startup that lets banks make small-business lending decisions in as little as five minutes. The venture, with the financial backing of FIS and several community banks, has raised upwards of $9 million in seed money.

But as a condition of the deal, Eastern Labs, the Boston mutual’s 3-year-old innovation unit led by Dan O’Malley, joined the spinoff. To ensure success, “the whole existing lab team needed to go with the new company,” said O’Malley, Numerated’s chief executive and Eastern’s former chief digital officer.

Eastern has been using Numerated’s technology to market Business Express Loans of up to $100,000, generating nearly $100 million of volume since late 2015. Now, Eastern envisions a bigger earnings stream from offering the service to other institutions to help them compete against fintech firms that are luring borrowers with quick application and approval processes.
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Some parents never seem to have cash on hand to pay their children’s allowances. Others worry that the cash they hand over could be spent on alcohol or worse. But now there’s an app to help.

Current is the brainchild of Stuart Sopp, a former top trader at Morgan Stanley, Citigroup and Deutsche Bank who has attracted several high-profile investors.

One of his backers is David Solomon, Goldman Sachs’ president and co-chief operating officer. Another is the Expa entrepreneurship lab, which is led by Uber co-founder Garrett Camp and Four-square co-founder Naveen Selvadurai.

The Current app links to a parent’s bank account and comes with a Visa debit card issued by Metropolitan Commercial Bank in New York. Parents can set up recurring payments — say, $10 a week — and one-time payments from their bank account to the Current account.

Parent and child can see the current balance and all transactions in the app, along with geographic data that lets parents check out an unfamiliar retailer.

Through the app, parents can block certain types of merchants, prevent the card from being used at an ATM, and set

No Cash to Pay Kids for Chores? Just Tap the App

Ex-trader says his 8-year-old daughter was the inspiration for a joint account
By Penny Crosman
spending limits. A “pause the card” feature means if the child loses it, all transactions can be blocked.

Current will charge $36 a year for its service.

Sopp said there’s a need for a joint account that can be managed with a smartphone app. “Children now get their first smartphone at the average age of 10.3. Normally it’s a hand-me-down iPhone, and they’re getting regular allowances and having less and less time for extracurricular jobs because they’re studying to get scholarships in college,” he said.

Teenagers lack a digital payment solution that’s safe and secure, Sopp said.

“They’re using cash from their parents on an ad hoc basis because the parents have less and less cash on them,” he said. “The kids don’t trust the parents because they say, ‘Hey I’ll pay you $10 for mowing the lawn,’ but they don’t have the cash and they forget.”

And the incumbent solution, the prepaid card, is expensive and easily lost, Sopp said.

The Current app provides kids with three buckets for their money: spend, save and give. Current has connected to all 2 million U.S. 501c charities, so a child can give $10 to World Wildlife Fund and the parents get the tax deduction.

The account will help young people learn about the value of money and how the parents get the tax deduction.

“I have a daughter who’s 8 and I was starting to see problems around this whole thing, so I was inspired by that and thought about how I would want to manage my money with more control in a way that was more automated,” he said.

### Worlds Collide

**JPMorgan’s surprising blockchain alliance**

**BLOCKCHAINS MAKE STRANGE BEDFELLOWS**

For years, Jamie Dimon has derided digital currencies. Now, his company has teamed up with the creators of one of the most prominent “altcoins” to build privacy features for a blockchain platform.

**JPMorgan Chase’s partnership with Zerocoin Electronic Coin Co. is perhaps the most surprising alliance yet as traditional financial institutions co-opt a technology originally developed to route around them.**

Zerocoin’s founder, Zooko Wilcox, was part of the 1990s cypherpunk movement, which sought to defend individual privacy through strong cryptography. Last year his firm released Zcash, a cryptocurrency designed to be more anonymous than bitcoin.

Just that phrase “more anonymous than bitcoin” might send shivers up the spines of some bank compliance officers, to say nothing of regulators. So, just to be clear: JPMorgan isn’t using Zcash. “This is solely a technology transfer agreement,” Wilcox said.

Instead, his team will use the same cryptographic techniques to add a “security layer” to Quorum, the system JPMorgan is developing to run smart contracts.

“In their most basic form, blockchain systems allow all participants in a network to see who has done what. But that transparency has been a turn-off for financial institutions, which don’t want to tip their hands to competitors, or reveal confidential client data.”

“Existing smart contract systems on replicated shared ledgers are unable to provide data privacy — transactions and smart contract state data are exposed in the clear on the replicated shared ledger,” JPMorgan said in a white paper for Quorum published in November.

Quorum was designed so that a smart contract would be visible only to the parties, not the whole network. But that, by itself, precludes the contracts from involving assets that could later be transferred to other participants in the network. “You can’t prove to Charlie that Bob is the legitimate owner of an asset while concealing that Alice was the previous legitimate owner,” Wilcox said. (“Alice and Bob” are regular characters in the hypothetical examples given by cryptographers.)

The Zcash developers plan to enable Quorum smart contracts to trade transferable, resellable tokens, through a cryptographic method called zero-knowledge proofs. These allow someone to prove that a statement is true without conveying any other information.

In the Zcash currency, for example, zero-knowledge proofs provide assurance that users are only spending money that they have without revealing on the public ledger how much they have received from or sent to others. Participants can selectively decrypt such details about their own transactions, however, making it possible to comply with audits or court orders. Otherwise, the ledger is just a list of timestamped transactions, with the senders, recipients and amounts obscured.

“Virtual currency, where it’s called a virtual bank, doesn’t work,” Wilcox said. “The only way to make a virtual bank work is to do it in a real-world financial system... a way that was more automated, more efficient, and thought about how I would want to manage my money with more control in a way that was more automated,” he said.
Applying that technology to Quorum would allow tokens (representing real-world financial assets, say, shares in Apple) to circulate throughout the network, rather than be confined to bilateral agreements between banks, without sacrificing privacy.

Dimon has famously predicted that decentralized currencies like bitcoin – which let anyone with an internet connection send money anywhere in the world without the permission of an intermediary – are doomed. According to published reports, his bank has expressly forbidden its business division to work with digital currency exchanges.

"Virtual currency, where it's called a bitcoin vs. a U.S. dollar, that's going to be stopped," Dimon said in 2015. "No government will ever support a virtual currency that goes around borders and doesn't have the same controls. It's not going to happen."

Around that time, JPMorgan and other banks had begun to investigate the possibilities for their business of blockchain technology, which was originally developed to prevent double-spending of bitcoins. One advanced use case is smart contracts, which theoretically can cut costs in the financial system by automating much of the work done by lawyers, compliance officers, syndication desks, and others.

"Smart contracts on a replicated, shared ledger hold the promise of improving efficiency and lowering costs compared with existing enterprise systems based on duplicated business logic and consensus by reconciliation," the bank's Quorum white paper said.

JPMorgan participated in several of the industry alliances that are working to develop blockchain solutions for financial services, though it recently quit the R3 consortium. The bank decided to model Quorum on another open-source platform, the bitcoin rival Ethereum.

While Zcash started as a "fork," or modified version, of bitcoin, Wilcox's company has joined the Enterprise Ethereum Alliance, of which JPMorgan is also a member.

Wilcox said the Zcash currency has served as a showcase of sorts for the technology his company has been pitching to enterprises.

"We can point to the thing running live in the wild as proof that it works and we can deliver," he said.

– Marc Hochstein

Millennials think of a financial institution as a process—not a place. When dealing with money, the truth is they'd rather push a smart phone key than go through a door. They think fast, make decisions, tell their friends, and then go on to the next thing.

D+H has produced an exclusive Power Talk series featuring the award-winning Millennial expert Jason Dorsey.

WATCH AT DH.COM/MILLENNIALS2
Wells Fargo aside, the industry overall should be pleased with the results of our annual survey of bank reputations. One key insight: Displaying strong ethics is an effective way to improve what people think of your bank.

BY SEAN SPOSITO

Banks are still working to rebuild their reputations following the financial crisis and, luckily for them, the phony-accounts scandal that erupted at one of the nation’s most prominent retail banks did not drag down the broader industry.

While Wells Fargo’s image is in tatters – and will likely remain so for some time – what people think of banks in general continues to improve, according to the latest American Banker/Reputation Institute survey.

The overall banking industry scored a 70.8 on a 100-point scale this year, meaning it edged ever so slightly into the “strong” category. Its score had been “average” last year at 67.1.

Better delivery of products and services has helped, as has looser lending practices. But the biggest influence has been banks’ behavior.

Simply put, banks are acting more responsibly with customers – no longer processing transactions in a way that will more quickly trigger overdrafts, for example. They also are making their community activities more prominent. And these efforts are paying off in higher reputation scores.

Of the 39 banks evaluated in this year’s survey, more than half of them received “excellent” marks from their existing customers, up from just under a third of the banks in the 2016 survey. Perhaps more tellingly, over 30% received “strong” ratings from noncustomers, versus zero in last year’s survey.

Stephen Hahn-Griffiths, an executive vice president at the Reputation Institute, said that while providing quality products and services is obviously important, it’s a company’s governance – or how it conducts business – that can make or break a reputation these days.

Wells Fargo is an obvious case in point. In last year’s survey, Wells scored a respectable 67.3 in the overall ranking, outpacing many of its peers. This year its score went into free fall, plunging to 48.6, by far the lowest of any bank.

“A score under 50 is considered “weak.” Scores between 60 and 69 are “average;” between 70 and 79, “strong;” and above 80, “excellent.”

“Wells Fargo is the poster child for bad behavior,” said Hahn-Griffiths. Creating fake accounts to meet sales targets is “an egregious act that goes against everything good governance stands for. It’s not ethical. It’s not honest. It’s not transparent.”

He suggested that the reputations of other banks – rather than being tarnished by the Wells scandal that made headlines for months – might have been reinforced in a positive way.

“In many ways, it’s kind of reaffirmed the loyalty to your other banks,” Hahn-Griffiths said, “because you start to say, ‘At least my bank isn’t Wells Fargo.’ It’s a bit of a reverse psychology play, there.”

Whatever the reason, banking now has moved ahead of some other industries that had it beat reputationally last year, including the health care and energy sectors. Banking also moved ahead of the broader finance industry, which scored a 69.

Across the 17 industries the Reputation Institute tracks, consumer goods had the strongest reputation, with a score of 76.5, and energy the weakest, with a score of 59.7.

Within the banking industry, the regionals are faring particularly well. Eight of the 10 highest-scoring banks in the overall ranking – including Synovus Financial in the top spot – have less than $50 billion of assets. The other two, USAA and Northern Trust, are niche banks.

Three of the country’s four largest banking companies, Citigroup, Bank of America and Wells Fargo, placed in the bottom five of the overall ranking. Of the big four, JPMorgan Chase ranked highest, with a score of 69.2.

The survey rates banks in seven categories: products and services, innovation, leadership, workplace, performance,
Of the 39 banks evaluated in this year’s survey, more than half of them received “excellent” marks from their existing customers, up from just under a third of the banks in the 2016 survey. Perhaps more tellingly, over 30% received “strong” ratings from noncustomers, versus zero in last year’s survey.

Stephen Hahn-Griffiths, an executive vice president at the Reputation Institute, said that while providing quality products and services is obviously important, it’s a company’s governance – or how it conducts business – that can make or break a reputation these days.

Wells Fargo is an obvious case in point. In last year’s survey, Wells scored a respectable 67.3 in the overall ranking, outpacing many of its peers. This year its score went into free fall, plunging to 48.6, by far the lowest of any bank. (A score under 50 is considered “weak.” Scores between 60 and 69 are “average;” between 70 and 79, “strong;” and above 80, “excellent.”)

“Wells Fargo is the poster child for bad behavior,” said Hahn-Griffiths. Creating fake accounts to meet sales targets is “an egregious act that goes against everything good governance stands for. It’s not ethical. It’s not honest. It’s not transparent.”

He suggested that the reputations of other banks – rather than being tarnished by the Wells scandal that made headlines for months – might have been reinforced in a positive way.

“In many ways, it’s kind of reaffirmed the loyalty to your other banks,” Hahn-Griffiths said, “because you start to say, ‘At least my bank isn’t Wells Fargo.’ It’s a bit of a reverse psychology play, there.”

Whatever the reason, banking now has moved ahead of some other industries that had it beat reputationally last year, including the health care and energy sectors. Banking also moved ahead of the broader finance industry, which scored a 69.

Across the 17 industries the Reputation Institute tracks, consumer goods had the strongest reputation, with a score of 76.5, and energy the weakest, with a score of 59.7.

Within the banking industry, the regionals are faring particularly well. Eight of the 10 highest-scoring banks in the overall ranking – including Synovus Financial in the top spot – have less than $50 billion of assets. The other two, USAA and Northern Trust, are niche banks. USAA is an online-only bank that serves members of the military and their families, and Northern Trust caters to the wealthy.

Three of the country’s four largest banking companies, Citigroup, Bank of America and Wells Fargo, placed in the bottom five of the overall ranking. Of the big four, JPMorgan Chase ranked highest, with a score of 69.2.

The survey rates banks in seven categories: products and services, innovation, leadership, workplace, performance,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bank Name</th>
<th>2017 Score</th>
<th>2016 Score</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Synovus Financial</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>USAA Bank</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BOK Financial</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cullen/Frost Bankers</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>First Tennessee</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Northern Trust</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Webster Bank</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BMO Harris Bank</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>First Citizens</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BankUnited</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>People’s United</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BNY Mellon</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Zions Bank</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CIT Bank</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Associated Bank</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Discover Bank</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>BBVA Compass</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Union Bank</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Regions</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Huntington Bank</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PNC</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Bank of the West</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ally Bank</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>TD Bank</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Comerica</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Capital One</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>B&amp;T</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>M&amp;T Bank</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>U.S. Bank</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Citizens Bank</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>SunTrust Banks</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>KeyBank</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Fifth Third Bank</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Citibank</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Santander</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>HSBC</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Bank of America</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Wells Fargo</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>-18.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: American Banker/Reputation Institute Survey of Bank Reputations 2017

Of the 39 banks evaluated in this year’s survey, more than half of them received “excellent” marks from their existing customers, up from just under a third of the banks in the 2016 survey. Perhaps more tellingly, over 30% received “strong” ratings from noncustomers, versus zero in last year’s survey.

Stephen Hahn-Griffiths, an executive vice president at the Reputation Institute, said that while providing quality products and services is obviously important, it’s a company’s governance – or how it conducts business – that can make or break a reputation these days.

Wells Fargo is an obvious case in point. In last year’s survey, Wells scored a respectable 67.3 in the overall ranking, outpacing many of its peers. This year its score went into free fall, plunging to 48.6, by far the lowest of any bank. (A score under 50 is considered “weak.” Scores between 60 and 69 are “average;” between 70 and 79, “strong;” and above 80, “excellent.”)

“Wells Fargo is the poster child for bad behavior,” said Hahn-Griffiths. Creating fake accounts to meet sales targets is “an egregious act that goes against everything good governance stands for. It’s not ethical. It’s not honest. It’s not transparent.”

He suggested that the reputations of other banks – rather than being tarnished by the Wells scandal that made headlines for months – might have been reinforced in a positive way.

“In many ways, it’s kind of reaffirmed the loyalty to your other banks,” Hahn-Griffiths said, “because you start to say, ‘At least my bank isn’t Wells Fargo.’ It’s a bit of a reverse psychology play, there.”

 Whatever the reason, banking now has moved ahead of some other industries that had it beat reputationally last year, including the health care and energy sectors. Banking also moved ahead of the broader finance industry, which scored a 69.

Across the 17 industries the Reputation Institute tracks, consumer goods had the strongest reputation, with a score of 76.5, and energy the weakest, with a score of 59.7.

Within the banking industry, the regionals are faring particularly well. Eight of the 10 highest-scoring banks in the overall ranking – including Synovus Financial in the top spot – have less than $50 billion of assets. The other two, USAA and Northern Trust, are niche banks. USAA is an online-only bank that serves members of the military and their families, and Northern Trust caters to the wealthy.

Three of the country’s four largest banking companies, Citigroup, Bank of America and Wells Fargo, placed in the bottom five of the overall ranking. Of the big four, JPMorgan Chase ranked highest, with a score of 69.2.

The survey rates banks in seven categories: products and services, innovation, leadership, workplace, performance,
A Big Weak Spot

Once again large banks as a group showed no progress on improving the weak reputations they have among noncustomers.

LARGE BANKS

-3.7

-2.6

REGIONAL BANKS

+2.1

+5.2

NONTRADITIONAL BANKS

-0.3

+7.3

ALL BANKS - INDUSTRY AVERAGE

+1.2

+5.0

Source: Survey of Bank Reputations 2017

2017 SURVEY OF BANK REPUTATIONS

A strong reputation can pay off in myriad ways, influencing people’s willingness to buy products from, invest in and even work for a company, according to the Reputation Institute’s research.

Of respondents who thought of a company as excellent, 85% said they would buy products from it, and 68% would invest in it.

Banks with excellent scores are also more likely to attract talent, though perhaps not as easily as they once could. Last year, 73% of respondents said they would work for the top-rated banks, but this year that figure fell to 65%.

Hahn-Griffiths offered two possible reasons for the decline. First, he said, many job seekers these days are unwilling to consider certain employers until they know for sure that a company’s values line up with their own. Also, “millennials in particular are looking beyond a career job at a large corporation and thinking about other forms of employment, such as starting their own company,” he said.

Attracting talent is more challenging for those with less-than-stellar reputations. Only 22% of respondents said they would seek employment with the average companies and just 11% would apply for jobs with the weak ones, according to the Reputation Institute.

Attracting new customers also gets progressively tougher for companies in the lower reputation categories. While 59% said they would buy products at the companies with strong reputations, only 37% would do so at the average companies. And that drops to 19% for the weak companies.

Wells Fargo, for example, has seen a sharp decline in new account openings since the scandal broke last year. Consumers opened 35% fewer checking accounts at Wells in March than they did in...
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RANKINGS BASED ON CUSTOMER SCORES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 USAA Bank 87.5 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 First Tennessee 86.7 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 BNY Mellon 86.3 74.8 11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 BOK Financial 85.2 76.4 8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 CIT Bank 85.1 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Synovus Financial 84.9 81.4 3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 First Citizens 84.4 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Cullen/Frost Bankers 83.9 81.8 2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Northern Trust 83.7 75.7 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 BMO Harris Bank 83.6 76 7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Webster Bank 82.9 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Associated Bank 82.6 76.8 5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 SunTrust Banks 82.6 81.8 0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Huntington Bank 81.5 78 3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 People’s United 81.3 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 BBVA Compass 81.2 76.3 4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 BankUnited 81.1 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Zions Bank 80.7 76 4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 BB&amp;T 80.5 73.7 6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Regions 80.3 85.7 -5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Citizens Bank 80.1 78.5 1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Union Bank 80 79.6 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 U.S. Bank 79 79.4 -0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Discover Bank 78.6 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 HSBC 78.2 73.2 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Capital One 77.9 82 -4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 PNC 77.3 80.7 -3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Bank of the West 76.9 79 -2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 TD Bank 76.7 80.9 -4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Ally Bank 76.7 80.7 -4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Chase 75.8 80.2 -4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 M&amp;T Bank 75.1 74.7 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Fifth Third Bank 74.9 71.8 3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Comerica 74.8 79.4 -4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Santander 74.1 72.2 1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Citibank 72.9 77.1 -4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 KeyBank 72.4 84.6 -12.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Bank of America 71.5 75 -3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Wells Fargo 62.2 77.7 -15.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excellent/Top Tier Above 80
Strong/Robust 70-79
Average/Moderate 60-69
Weak/Vulnerable 40-59
Poor/Bottom Tier Below 40

Source: American Banker/Reputation Institute Survey of Bank Reputations 2017

RANKINGS BASED ON NONCUSTOMER SCORES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Synovus Financial 77.9 67.1 10.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 USAA Bank 76.2 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cullen/Frost Bankers 75.6 62.7 12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 BOK Financial 75.4 62.4 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Northern Trust 74 63.3 10.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 First Tennessee 73.6 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Webster Bank 72.4 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 First Citizens 71.9 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 BMO Harris Bank 71.8 61.1 10.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 BankUnited 70.4 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 People’s United 70.4 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Zions Bank 70.2 64.4 5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 BNY Mellon 69.3 61.4 7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Union Bank 68.8 61.5 7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Associated Bank 68.5 61.3 7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 CIT Bank 67.7 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 BBVA Compass 67.1 58.8 8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Bank of the West 67 61.3 5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Regions 66.9 65.3 1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Discover Bank 66.5 n/a n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Comerica 66.1 62.7 3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Ally Bank 65.6 64.9 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 M&amp;T Bank 64.4 63.1 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Huntington Bank 64.3 60 4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 KeyBank 64.2 60.9 3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 TD Bank 64 62.4 1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 PNC 64 59.4 4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Chase 62.2 60.5 1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Citizens Bank 61.8 60.9 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 BB&amp;T 61.4 63.7 -2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Fifth Third Bank 61.1 58.9 2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 SunTrust Banks 59 60.7 -1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Comerica 58.9 57.8 1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Santander 58.9 49.9 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Capital One 58.6 58 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 HSBC 58.2 51.8 6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 U.S. Bank 58.1 61.2 -3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Bank of America 43.3 44.9 -1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Wells Fargo 37.1 59.9 -22.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excellent/Top Tier Above 80
Strong/Robust 70-79
Average/Moderate 60-69
Weak/Vulnerable 40-59
Poor/Bottom Tier Below 40

Source: American Banker/Reputation Institute Survey of Bank Reputations 2017

JULY 2017 AMERICAN BANKER 13
the same month last year and credit card applications were down 42% year over year. Those steep drops are among the reasons profits in its retail banking unit fell 9% in the first quarter from a year earlier, to $3 billion.

Joo-Yung Lee is a managing director and regional head of Fitch Ratings’ North American financial institutions group. She said that while reputation is not a key component in determining a bank’s rating, it is something she has to pay attention to because a poor one can affect areas such as loan growth, client acquisition and referrals.

Her team put Wells on a “negative” outlook following the scandal. “We do pay attention to brand and the firm’s reputation in the context of how it plays a role in the bank’s franchise and the business model,” Lee said.

Wells Fargo has taken steps to repair its image, from re-tooling its entire sales program to establishing a dedicated office to oversee a companywide Rebuilding Trust Program.

And, so far, the bank has refunded nearly $3.3 million to customers for fees incurred as a result of “potentially unauthorized” deposit accounts, credit cards and lines of credit, according to a recent one-sheet from Wells outlining its progress.

Like all banks in the reputation survey, Wells performed better with its customers than with noncustomers. Among customers it scored a 62.2, lowest among the 39 banks but still in the range of “average.” Its noncustomer score was 37.1, which is “poor.”

Less than half of its customers say they would recommend the bank to others, and less than a quarter of noncustomers would do so.

But Wells’ recovery effort is starting to pay off. The Reputation Institute runs monthly assessments for Wells, which is a client, and Hahn-Griffiths said its scores are up slightly since spring, when the annual Survey of Bank Reputations was fielded.

“It’s going to take a while; there is no overnight cure,” he said. “It’s all about rebuilding trust and credibility one customer at a time and doing the right thing.”

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

COMPANY SELECTION:
▶ Companies drawn from the Federal Reserve’s list of large commercial banks, with final selections by American Banker based on total assets and deposits
▶ Only those with significant retail brands were considered

RATINGS:
▶ Ratings were collected via online questionnaire in the spring of 2017
▶ All companies were rated by at least 100 customers and 100 noncustomers
▶ Each respondent was very or somewhat familiar with the companies they rated

How banks rated in the seven reputation categories

The top scorers for each of the reputation drivers

Source: American Banker/Reputation Institute Survey of Bank Reputations 2017
IMPROVING MORTGAGE LOAN PROCESSING THROUGH AUTOMATION

An educational Q&A with Michele Rothkin, Financial Services Industry Marketing Specialist, Canon U.S.A., Inc.

In an age of customer expectations for fast, convenient digital solutions, the mortgage loan process remains highly paper-based. The processing cycle can be rife with bottlenecks and the risk of costly errors. Across the industry, executives say they must improve the customer experience and internal efficiency to be competitive, and are seeking to shave days off cycle times.

To shed light on these challenges and possible solutions, Canon’s Michele Rothkin discusses how business process automation can help mortgage originators become more efficient.

What is the state of automation in the industry today?

Companies are trying to cut out manual work with the help of online application portals they can use to upload documents. The problem is that when documents come in, someone still has to look at them — information needs to be verified. As such, the process may never be 100% automated. The key is to insert documents into an orderly workflow that can help track the mortgage package throughout the cycle and prompt mortgage professionals to complete necessary tasks in a timely manner.

What are the biggest risks and pain points for originators in the mortgage loan processing cycle?

Not surprisingly, regulatory compliance is an ongoing concern. For example, when loan terms change, new disclosures must be issued within strict time limits. Documents, such as paystubs, must also be current at the time of closing. A well-constructed automated system can help alert staff to possible problems so they can be addressed before they become an issue.

Reputational risk also can’t be ignored. Inefficient processes with heavy manual components can expose originators to oversights like missing information, requiring them to contact customers multiple times for the same document. Originators that achieve a high level of service by streamlining communications with borrowers can distinguish themselves from competitors.

Many loan processing executives continue to invest in technology and process improvements and seek to shorten processing cycles. What is driving the activity?

Primary drivers of ongoing improvements in the loan processing area are compliance, cost savings and customer satisfaction. With mortgage providers having expended a great deal of time and energy on compliance in the past few years, they are expanding their focus to creating and maintaining more efficient processes throughout the loan cycle.

Overall, an efficient process leads to a shorter cycle time. A shorter cycle time helps originators close within the initial rate lock period and avoid fees to extend rate locks. An efficient process can also lead to a better customer experience. Satisfied customers can lead to more referrals and fewer bad reviews.

What does the mortgage loan document process of the future look like?

Document collection and data review involves a human element. The game-changing challenge here is, with a process that has to be somewhat manual, how do you organize work for a loan processor who has a lot of critical responsibilities?

The biggest struggle for processors is remembering everything they have to do, and that’s where a well-designed automated solution comes in. When you need to collect paystubs, the system can remind you to call the borrower. It can flag documents that are close to expiring and give ample time to collect new ones. If something changes in a document, the technology can call attention to it. The proper technology solution keeps processors moving within a workflow to help reduce errors and improve efficiency.

How is Canon involved in helping mortgage bankers reduce cycle time and improve the customer experience?

Canon’s service is customizable and allows an administrator to set up a digital workflow behind the scenes. As a leader in imaging technology, Canon has the technology, personnel and know-how to help automate manual, paper-intensive processes.

The service helps manage tasks at each stage in the process, from appraisals to customer follow up on income and assets. Having these tasks structured in a workflow queue helps keep mortgage professionals on point.

The service comes with robust data analytics that allows for tracking throughout the process, including cycle time. It also provides document storage, incorporating Canon’s optical character reader (OCR) – scanning and indexing – technology.

To learn more, please visit: usa.canon.com/advancedsolutionsforfinancialservices or email mrothkin@cusa.canon.com

Copyright 2016 Canon U.S.A., Inc. Canon U.S.A. does not provide legal counsel or regulatory compliance consultancy, including without limitation, Sarbanes-Oxley, HIPAA, GLBA, Check 21 or the USA Patriot Act. Each customer must have its own qualified counsel determine the advisability of a particular solution as it relates to regulatory and statutory compliance.
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TALENT REFINERY

UNDER STEVEN BRADSHAW, BOK FINANCIAL IS ENGAGING EMPLOYEES AS NEVER BEFORE BY INVESTING HEAVILY IN TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT.

DON’T THINK CUSTOMERS HAVEN’T NOTICED.

BY ALAN KLINE PHOTOGRAPHY BY ADAM MURPHY
Steven Bradshaw had some fresh ideas about how to maintain BOK Financial’s momentum when he replaced Stanley Lybarger as chief executive in early 2014.

Lybarger, who led the Tulsa, Okla., company for nearly a quarter of a century, is a towering figure in banking, credited with transforming the small energy lender with less than $2 billion of assets into a regional powerhouse, and delivering consistent profits to shareholders largely by maintaining strong credit discipline and building a diverse base of fee-based businesses. It is a point of pride at BOK that the company never lost money or laid off employees during the financial crisis and was one of just a handful of regions that refused to accept money from the federal government’s Troubled Asset Relief Program.

Yet there is always room for improvement, even at the most respected, best-performing companies, and one area where Bradshaw believed he could change the $33 billion-asset BOK for the better was in nurturing talent. For years, BOK had been adding employees at a rapid clip, primarily by acquiring other banks, going into new business lines and expanding existing ones, but it had no formal training program designed to retain all that talent or prepare the most promising employees for senior roles.

BOK had created an office of talent and organizational development in late 2012 under Lybarger, but training took on greater urgency under Bradshaw, one of Lybarger’s longtime deputies. As Bradshaw saw it, development was crucial to keeping the best employees from fleeing to competitors and grooming the next generation of leaders at a time when BOK’s, and the industry’s, bench strength had become dangerously thin.

“There was a period in the 1990s where there was not a lot of internal development going on, so there’s this gap — and it’s like this at a lot of banks — where there are a lot of us who are over 55 and a significant amount of talent among the under-40 crowd, but not a lot of talent in between,” explained Bradshaw, who is 57. “We’re going to see a pretty significant change in leadership ... in a lot of areas of the bank over the next decade and we need to make sure we have people who are prepared to take over those responsibilities.”

A key initiative is an executive and performance coaching program geared specifically for up-and-coming managers who senior leaders see as having executive potential. There is also an advanced leadership program for midlevel managers, another management program for newly hired or recently promoted employees and a series of online classes and one-day boot camps.

The early results of BOK’s investments in talent development have been promising. Turnover is down, employee engagement scores are up and, perhaps most important, existing employees are filling more of BOK’s job openings. Last year, one in four vacant jobs went to an internal candidate, versus one in eight just three years ago.

That’s because more employees have gained the skills and the confidence to apply for positions that may have once considered to be out of reach, Bradshaw said. “They are confident to raise their hands, and they are confident that we as an organization will consider them,” he said.

How all this plays outside of BOK is hard to know, but it is likely not a fluke that the company’s climb up our annual reputation rankings coincides with the talent development effort.

Stephen Hahn-Griffiths is a managing director at the Reputation Institute, the Cambridge, Mass., firm that compiles the rankings for American Banker each year. He said BOK “is setting itself apart” from others in the industry by “investing in its people and building a workplace and culture where people want to work.”

While this is not the only contributor to BOK’s improved reputation, it is certainly a factor, particularly in its standing with customers, Hahn-Griffiths said.

“Inspired employees make for happier customers,” he said.

B

OK — which placed eighth in last year’s rankings — came in third overall in the 2017 rankings, with a score of 79 (out of 100). Of the 39 banking companies included in the Survey of Bank Reputation this year, only Synovus Financial in Columbus, Ga. (80.7), and USAA Bank in San Antonio (80.3) scored higher than BOK.

BOK also posted the largest year-over-year increase in its score, 9.6 points.

That was propelled by an improvement in how it is viewed by both customers and noncustomers. BOK is one of just four companies to show a double-digit increase in its score with noncustomers and its 8.8-point increase in its customer score was second only to the BNY Mellon’s 11.5-point jump.

This is not to suggest that BOK was in some desperate need of a reputation reboot. Financial performance is an important driver of reputation and few companies have performed as consistently well as BOK over the last two decades. It has succeeded in besting competitors by sticking to what it does best — short-term lending — while opportunistically moving into new markets and communities. To understand why, it helps to know about its ownership structure and the executive in its corporate trust department who is no longer with the company.

“In the last 20 years, we’ve added $28 billion in deposits and $30 billion in assets, but one of the things that’s helped us grow is the core deposit base that’s been generated because of the heavy investment in economic development and its employees’ engagement,” said Graham, noting the company’s heavy investment in economic development and its employees’ engagement, “It sets the bar here, and that’s saying something for this area.”

“BOK’s fingerprints are literally all over Tulsa and the communities. To understand why, it helps to know about its ownership structure and the executive in its corporate trust department who is no longer with the company.”

While this is not the only contributor to BOK’s improved reputation, it is certainly a factor, particularly in its standing with customers, Hahn-Griffiths said.

“Inspired employees make for happier customers,” he said.
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While this is not the only contributor to BOK’s improved reputation, it is certainly a factor, particularly in its standing with customers, Hahn-Griffiths said.

“Inspired employees make for happier customers,” he said.

B
succeeded by sticking to what it does best – energy lending – while opportunistically moving into new markets and adding business lines, such as mortgage banking, wealth management and treasury management services. Not many banks can boast that nearly half of their revenue is generated by fees.

In the late 1980s and early 1990s, “the bank suffered from the regional downturn in the Southwest, and we did not want that same outcome” in the next downturn, said Lybarger, who remains on BOK’s board. “How do you maintain a reputation? By building a company that is recession-proof.”

It also helps to be a model corporate citizen, and Mark Graham, the president and CEO of the Tulsa Area United Way, said that BOK has few peers in that regard. Bradshaw himself is the current chairman of the Tulsa Area United Way and last year, along with his wife, Marla, co-chaired a fundraising campaign that brought in $25.3 million, a near record for the nonprofit.

“BOK’s fingerprints are literally all over Tulsa,” said Graham, noting the company’s heavy investment in economic development and its employees’ significant involvement in area nonprofits. “It sets the bar here, and that’s saying a lot because Tulsa is a hugely philanthropic community.”

BOK has had some hiccups. Last year, the company paid a $1.6 million fine to the Securities and Exchange Commission for allegedly covering up the misuse of funds related to a bond issue. BOK said the fraud was perpetrated by an executive in its corporate trust department who is no longer with the company.

Even so, BOK generally enjoys a strong reputation with its customers, investors and people who live in its communities. To understand why, it helps to know about its ownership structure and its ties to the Kaiser Family Foundation.

The billionaire oil executive George Kaiser bought the then-ailing company in 1991 and today he and his family foundation own roughly two-thirds of BOK’s stock. Despite their vastly different missions – the foundation is chiefly focused on childhood poverty and early-education – there is little question that the foundation’s spirit of giving back has seeped into BOK’s culture.

“When you think of BOK, you think of George Kaiser, and when you think of George Kaiser, you think of Kaiser Family Foundation,” said Graham. “All are significant players in the development of this community, in pushing us forward.”

W

ith Kaiser and the foundation owning such a large chunk of the publicly traded company’s stock, BOK can go about its business relatively free from investor pressure, said Brady Gailey, a managing director at Keefe, Bruyette & Woods.

BOK’s leaders “are not managing the bank for the next quarter or even for the next year; they are focused on creating value over the next five to 10 years and beyond,” Gailey said. “A lot of banks don’t have that longer-term focus because they have big rosters of institutional investors that want results now, not later.”

Gailey said – and BOK executives concurred – that the ownership structure is a big reason BOK was able to be so patient with customers in the energy industry when oil and gas prices started plummeting in late 2015 and early 2016.

As energy-related businesses were struggling to repay their loans, many banks responded just as investors and analysts wanted them to: by quickly writing off or unloading troubled credits, sharply curtailing energy lending and, in some cases, exiting the sector entirely.

But BOK took a different tack, opting instead to give many of its clients ample time to work through financial troubles brought on by falling prices. The company had survived down cycles before and, as its executives have said repeatedly on recent earnings calls, it was not about to abandon its customers when they needed their bank most.

Underscoring its commitment to the battered sector, BOK has continued to actively pursue new energy business – it has added $900 million of oil and gas loans over the past 12 months – and last year even bought an energy-focused investment advisory firm.

“We’re a committed and unapologetic energy bank, and we understand that commodity prices can be volatile,” said Stacy Kymes, BOK’s head of commercial banking. “Being reactive in the short term isn’t going to result in a positive outcome for the bank or the borrower,” he said.

Jared Shaw, an analyst at Wells Fargo Securities, said investors and analysts have generally been comfortable with that stance because BOK has such deep expertise in energy lending. The company is prudent in its underwriting – the loan to value on its energy loans typically ranges from 50% to 60% – and most of its loans are for exploration and production and therefore collateralized by the oil and gas in the ground. As Shaw pointed out, the banks that suffered the steepest losses on energy loans were those financing businesses that provide oil field services, such as rigs and other heavy equipment.

Shaw said investors are not bothered that BOK continues to write new loans at a time when many other banks are taking a pause. “Even though energy prices have come down a lot, the loans BOK is putting on its books are actually better...
loans because the pricing assumptions are so much more conservative,” he said.

B radshaw believes there is a link between BOK’s decision to stand by its energy clients during this most recent downturn and its improved reputation score. BOK has operations in nine states, including Texas, Colorado and Missouri, and about 80% of its offices are in markets “that have some level of reliance on the energy industry,” Bradshaw said. “When you work with your customers, that permeates within the communities, and it is a point of pride with employees. Our customers know that we are loyal and that we are not in this business casually and therefore not going to exit it casually. That builds a stronger reputation.”

He sees a link, too, between its talent development efforts and the improved perception of BOK in its markets. He said the commitment to education and training has “energized” the employees, and that is helping to lower turnover and, ultimately, improve relations with customers. Among employees BOK views as being a “high performer” or having “high potential,” turnover was 7% last year, down from 8% in 2015. Further minimizing turnover among these two employee groups is a top priority, and sometimes that means giving talented workers an opportunity to stretch themselves even before they are ready.

“No one is really 100% ready for their next role, but it’s important that we as leaders give people the opportunity to do things that might be outside of their comfort zones,” Bradshaw said.

Christine McQueen was one of the first BOK employees selected to participate in a 10-month executive education program, and the experience changed the trajectory of her career.

‘We’re a committed and unapologetic energy bank,’ said commercial lending chief Stacy Kymes. ‘Being reactive in the short term isn’t going to result in a positive outcome for the bank or the borrower.’

A former manager in the company’s information technology group, McQueen was promoted in January to director of bank operations, a senior-level post in which she oversees operations for a wide range of functions, including lending, retail banking and wealth management. She admits she likely would not have even applied for the position if she had not gone through the executive training program in 2014.

A key component of the training is a research project in which the class participants are broken up into teams and asked to come up with a solution to a real-world problem or question. McQueen and her team, for example, were asked to look into the feasibility of BOK expanding its energy lending into Canada. After months of research, she ultimately concluded that such a move would be too risky, and as part of the program, had to explain the decision to a panel of senior executives. That access to Bradshaw and other senior leaders was invaluable, McQueen said, and ultimately gave her the confidence to not only apply for the bank operations job, but seek their input before she even interviewed for it.

“I got to know them and some of their thought processes, so when this became available I felt very comfortable in going to talk to them about what their expectations for this role would be,” she said.

Stacy Tiger, BOK’s director of talent and organizational development, said much of the training is focused on developing “soft skills,” but it’s those “stretch assignments,” she said, that participants find to be most beneficial. Though the idea of lending in Canada was ultimately scrapped, many other ideas are accepted, and it is up to presenting teams to help put the ideas into practice. That can be heady stuff for a midlevel manager.

“They get the opportunity to work with the executive team” to implement the idea, Tiger said, “and you can imagine how great that is for employee engagement and morale.”

McQueen, who is coming up on her 10th anniversary with BOK, said that Bradshaw’s focus on employee engagement has indeed boosted morale across the organization. She pointed not only to the formal talent development programs, but also to the dozens of informal coffee meetings Bradshaw holds with rank-and-file employees each year.

“Regardless of what your role might be, he wants to hear what’s on your mind,” McQueen said. “It’s been a positive, impactful thing. It makes people feel like their voices are being heard.”

Bradshaw began hosting the meetings when he took over as CEO three years ago. They have no agenda and one of the only rules is that senior leaders are not allowed to attend because Bradshaw fears their presence might discourage honest discussion.

“They give me feedback on what they see as a priority but don’t see us working on, and I can tell you it’s been pretty enlightening,” said Bradshaw. “I’ve probably learned more about the company from these sessions than I had in my 20-plus years here.”
Is artificial intelligence the future of capital markets?

Before the internet arrived, I used to be an equity analyst. I’d spend my days finding and arranging metaphorical jigsaw pieces to come up with a complete picture and an investment recommendation, which the sales guys would disseminate by telephoning their favorite clients first, and the ones they didn’t like so much a bit later.

Today, at asset management companies and other financial institutions, there are still large teams of analysts and portfolio managers, sifting through data, developing investment theses and making asset allocation decisions. The difference is that we are deluged in data. Not only is the amount of data available to us accelerating, the nature of it is changing, with new sources of information being seen as potentially relevant for analysis. For example, the location-based data that comes from your mobile phone shows whether you are in a mall. Scaled over the whole of the country, this could allow us to see what is happening to footfall, which would help with understanding retail sales in real time. The footage from closed-circuit television that shows what’s happening in transportation is another example. Or social media analysis of events happening in real time from people on the ground. Or weather data. The list goes on.

The reality is that there is just too much data for humans to be able to use. Opportunities go wasted because a team of humans just cannot create a sophisticated response to all of this. Of course, funds have been using complex algorithmic-driven trading strategies for years, but this is largely confined to market data. Imagine if we could take all the data that’s coming from the real economy and use that to discern price, predict performance, understand risk and make better investment decisions. The only feasible way to do this is to use computing power to ingest the data, understand correlation and causation, and deduce rapidly enough how to respond. Artificial intelligence has now advanced to the stage where this is possible. While this gives rise to some interesting opportunities to radically transform investment management and capital markets, it will be very disruptive for people who work in the industry.

Let’s assume that you use very sophisticated AI-driven models to scan data from not just the market but a whole plethora of other sources to define, implement, monitor, refine and adjust your trading strategies. What kind of people do you now need to employ? Performance will come down to how well your combination of engineers, scientists and market people can define and improve those models. The kinds of people employed in the industry will change; we will need people who can model data, and others who can validate the models and the results. And we will not need so many people. Much of the dialogue around the types of jobs that will disappear because of artificial intelligence has centered on relatively unskilled jobs, but in financial services it will be expensive, highly educated Wall Street-types finding themselves out of work.

An asset manager friend of mine agreed. He also said there’s no way he’d go public about using this strategy; he’d prefer investors think how great his team was at stockpicking!

Change is already underway. One of the most high-profile companies in this space is Kensho, which is backed by Google, Goldman Sachs and S&P Global. Kensho uses AI to scan vast data sets much more quickly and accurately than analysts, and sells the information to banks and other financial institutions.

One competitor is Sentifi, which takes in data from thousands of sources, then filters it for accuracy. The founder got the idea after the nuclear disaster at Fukushima, when an investment manager friend griped that it would take three weeks of sifting through seemingly unconnected data to work out the implications of this event on his portfolio.

One hedge fund taking artificial intelligence to the next level is Numerai – which doesn’t even employ the AI talent! This San Francisco fund encrypts its trading data and then crowdsources AI-based algorithms from anyone who wants to have a go. Contributors who develop algorithms that successfully improve performance get paid in bitcoin.

It’s a long way from when I used to analyze company reports, scan articles in actual newspapers and use old-fashioned methods like the telephone and company visits to develop my investment ideas.

Hazel Moore is the chairman and co-founder of the London investment bank FirstCapital, which specializes in high-growth technology companies.
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<td><a href="mailto:david.clewworth@sourcemedia.com">david.clewworth@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NY/NJ/CT/PA</th>
<th>LIESETH SEVERIENS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One State Street Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York, NY 10004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 212.803.8691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: 646.264.6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:liesbeth.severiens@sourcemedia.com">liesbeth.severiens@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIDWEST/SOUTHWEST</th>
<th>SHELLY SCHMELING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One State Street Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York, NY 10004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 312.932.9392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:shelly.schmeling@sourcemedia.com">shelly.schmeling@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE</th>
<th>DIGITAL ACCOUNT SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALEX FELSER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One State Street Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York, NY 10004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 212.803.8671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:alex.felser@sourcemedia.com">alex.felser@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MA, RI, NH, VT, ME</th>
<th>BRAD BAVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One State Street Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York, NY 1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 212.803.8829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: 646.264.6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:brad.bava@sourcemedia.com">brad.bava@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEST</th>
<th>SARA CULLEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>173 Spreading Oak Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scotts Valley, CA 95066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 831.438.8408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: 831.438.8407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:sara.culley@sourcemedia.com">sara.culley@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING</th>
<th>DOMINIQUE GAGEANT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One State Street Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York, NY 1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 212.803.8882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:dominique.gageant@sourcemedia.com">dominique.gageant@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CUSTOMER SERVICE</th>
<th>SOURCEMEDIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One State Street Plaza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York, NY 1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: 800.221.1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: 212.292.5216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:custserv@sourcemedia.com">custserv@sourcemedia.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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American Banker delivers world class banking and financial content to a top C-Suite audience of influencers, while offering unparalleled coverage of all the key issues facing the world’s financial sector.

With unique insights and analysis, in-depth industry coverage, and a unique banking industry database, American Banker stands out one of the most comprehensive and respected voices in the financial media.
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LEGAL – FOUNDATION NOTICES

NOTICE
The annual report of THE MILLENNIUM FOUNDATION TRUST for the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 is available at its principal office located at C/O Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein & Breitstone, LLP, 190 Willis Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, (516) 747-0300 for inspection during regular business hours by any citizen who requests it within 180 days hereof.
The Principal Manager of the Foundation is Mr. Lewis S. Meltzer.

CRA INVESTMENTS

CRA QUALIFIED INVESTMENTS IN YOUR ASSESSMENT AREA

Contact: Kenneth H. Thomas, Ph.D.
800-201-0200  508-683-6100
Ken@CommunityDevelopmentFund.com

Consider the objectives, risks, and charges carefully before investing; the Prospectus contains this and other information about the investment company. A Prospectus may be found at www.CDFAdvisors.com and it should be read carefully before investing.

Distributed by Foremost Financial Services, LLC

Visit us at www.AmericanBanker.com/Magazine
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SUBSERVICING

The Name You Can Count On in Loan Servicing

www.cenlar.com
1-888-SUBSERVE     (782-7378)

CRA INVESTMENTS

THE NATION’S LEADING SUBSERVICER

Dovenmuehle Mortgage, Inc. 847.550.7550
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ERIC DEZENHALL
“People don’t want to have their chops busted among the people they eat quiche and drink Chablis with.”
Expert in damage control, saying JPMorgan Chase CEO Jamie Dimon likely faces less social pressure to quit advising President Trump than Tesla founder Elon Musk

BRENDAN DICKINSON
“Insurance is still primarily distributed by 59-year-old men whose top skill is being able to write upside down.”
Partner at the venture capital firm Canaan Partners, saying technology is about to change distribution and underwriting in a sector that lacks innovation

LLOYD BLANKFEIN
“Today’s decision is a setback for the environment and for the U.S.’s leadership position in the world. #ParisAgreement”
Goldman Sachs’ CEO and instantly popular Twitter newbie, in his very first tweet, on June 1, which got 28,000 likes and 12,000 retweets

ERIC DEZENHALL
“If you go to a dentist, if you hire a plumber, in all the professions, there is value added by the professionals as a group compared to doing it yourself or just randomly picking laymen. In the investment world, it isn’t true.”
Billionaire investor, saying fund managers aren’t worth their fees and urging people to stick with index funds instead

BRIAN DUPERREAUTOUL
“I didn’t come here to break the company up, I came here to grow it.”
American International Group’s new CEO, promising to keep the insurance giant intact and expand it for the first time since the financial crisis

GUO SHUQING
“The banking system currently shows symptoms of using a bullpen to cage a cat.”
China’s top bank regulator, in a reference to the country’s overly loose regulations that he intends to rein in

LLOYD BLANKFEIN
“Almost every week, new ideas in blockchain appear.”
Barclays’ chief technology officer, saying that just staying aware of the constant flow of blockchain initiatives takes time and effort for banks, regulators and startups

CHRISTOPHER YORK
“I would characterize it as the sixth of nine innings.”
JMP Securities analyst, describing where CIT Group is in its turnaround

JEROME POWELL
“We want the boards of directors to focus on their main job of overseeing and holding accountable the management, not running the company and not getting tied up in a lot of checklists.”
Federal Reserve governor, on his plan to ease the regulatory burden by eliminating specific directives for board members

WARREN BUFFET
“Over the past 35 years, I have seen a number of people who were very successful in their field, but who were never able to make the leap to another field.”
Billionaire investor, saying fund managers aren’t worth their fees and urging people to stick with index funds instead

Lee Braine
“Almost every week, new ideas in blockchain appear.”
Barclays’ chief technology officer, saying that just staying aware of the constant flow of blockchain initiatives takes time and effort for banks, regulators and startups
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Use That Opportunity to Enhance Your Marketing Program with REPRINTS

Reprints Can Be Used to:

- Promote Your Company’s Solid Profile
- Lend Credibility to Your Corporate Marketing Message
- Provide Independent Endorsement
- Create Sales Literature
- Reinforce Your Company’s Market Position
- Enhance Press Releases
- Direct Mail Promotions

For more information about reprints and licensing content from *American Banker*, please visit SourceMediaReprints.com or contact PARS International Corp. at (212) 221-9595
GET UPDATES ON

DIGITAL LENDING ECO SYSTEM
BANK PARTNERSHIPS
CREDIT DECISIONING INNOVATIONS
REGULATION & THE NEW ADMINISTRATION
OCC FINTECH CHARTER
SECURITIZATION
NEW TECHNOLOGY
OPPORTUNITIES FOR GROWTH + MORE

REGISTER NOW!
Visit DigitalLendingInvesting.com or Call Ingrid Olsen at (212) 803-8456
For sponsorship opportunities, please contact: Stacy Gellman (212) 803-8841
Stacy.Gellman@sourcemedia.com

REGISTER EARLY FOR BEST RATES!